

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERC United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMA 10/018,625 03/07/2002 Marcel Aeschlimann FRR-12806

7609

7590

09/03/2003

RANKIN, HILL, PORTER & CLARK, LLP 700 HUNTINGTON BUILDING 925 EUCLID AVENUE, SUITE 700 CLEVELAND, OH 44115-1405

EXAMINER GARCIA, ERNESTO

PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT

3679

DATE MAILED: 09/03/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			_
Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/018,625	AESCHLIMANN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ernesto Garcia	3679	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a eply within the statutory minimum of thiod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO tute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	1
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _			
	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allo closed in accordance with the practice under			
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) is/are pending in the application of the above states and in ()			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	rawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	an alaatian maayina aa t		
8) Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> are subject to restriction and/c Application Papers	or election requirement.		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to	-		
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		• •	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in			
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	ign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) All b) Some * c) None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received in A	Application No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prapplication from the International I * See the attached detailed Office action for a li	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_	
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	stic priority under 35 U.S.C	§ 119(e) (to a provisional application).	
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language p 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome			
Attachment(s)	•		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/018,625

Art Unit: 3679

Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention, the joint. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

I. Fig. 1c;

V. Fig. 7; and

II. Fig. 4c;

VI. Fig. 8;

III. Fig. 5c;

IV. Fig. 6b;

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/018,625

Art Unit: 3679

The following claim(s) are generic: claims 1, 11 and 19.

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

species I lacks the cylindrical part 31, ribs 33, and edges 35; species II lacks the ribs 56; species III lacks the edges 35, the ribs 33, and the cylindrical part; species IV lacks the cylindrical part 31, ribs 33, and edges 35; species V lacks the cylindrical part 31, ribs 33, ribs 56 and edges 35; species VI lacks the cylindrical part 31, ribs, 33, ribs 56, edges 35 and the elements 70.

A telephone call was made to David E. Spaw on August 28, 2003 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement is traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Art Unit: 3679

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ernesto Garcia whose telephone number is 703-308-8606. The examiner can normally be reached from 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynne H Browne can be reached on 703-308-1159. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9326 for regular communications and 703-872-9327 for After Final communications. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-2168.

Lynne H. Browne Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 3600

E.G.

August 28, 2003